

1
Every other, a first example
Our first chance to say "No"

These bombs aren't meant to deter,
They're meant to be used.

They aren't supposed to make nuclear war thinkable.
They're meant to make nuclear war happen.

Clearly, at first; so that ~~"tactical"~~ to erase
the objections to ~~over~~ the "tactical" type
weapons last used ^{on} Hiroshima + Nagasaki.

Clear weapons for doing little wars, like VN, & Africa;
so that doing "little" ~~weapons~~ ^{we can use again} were bigger wars
came along, the doing "little" weapons of the
"tactical" size ^{last} ~~was~~ dropped on Hiroshima + Nagasaki.

If they had been stockpiled 10 years ago,
(as could well have happened eventually) they
would have been used, ^{already} in Vietnam. ^{Destruction} killing more
human and fewer structures wouldn't have changed
the nature of that war, but the final Holocaust
would be closer than it is today. 285-3200

2 bomb

The "N" bomb...

The point of this weapons program is not
just to make nuclear war thinkable,
it's to make nuclear war happen.

These are bombs meant to be used, not
to deter; they're "clean" ~~weapons~~ for
doing little wars, like Vietnam or Angola.

They're ^{all} dirty, ~~dirty~~, along with ~~them~~ ^{him} ~~him~~, the
~~distinction between nuclear and non-nuclear~~
~~that has been the single barrier to~~
breaching against ~~that~~ ^{new} ~~nuclear~~ ^{reinitiating}
nuclear warfare that has been the single
barrier to U.S. use ^{in the last 30 years} ~~since Nagasaki~~ of "tactical"
weapons of the size last used on Nagasaki.

(Dallies offered 2 of those weapons to the
French at the time of Dunkinphar, without telling
us: ~~Robert~~ the French said. This time, for once,
it's our chance to say "No."

With this ^{use} ~~help~~, doing little wars like
Vietnam will become "clean" nuclear wars. ~~that~~
~~with the~~ ~~then~~, with the ~~present~~ ~~breakdown~~ of
~~the present~~ ~~the~~ ~~political~~ barrier
~~breached~~ to reinitiating nuclear warfare has been
breached, & "tactical" ^{dirty} weapons of the size last
dropped at Nagasaki will be regarded as usable
again. And they will be used, not only by us.

It's purpose is to reduce the political
~~debates~~ barrier that has deterred our own
President ~~activity~~ from

as Wolfgang Pansky has said (20 pg, SF Chron)
5 it is not a "revolutionary" weapon. It is, like
all "tactical" US weapons, ~~rather~~ a
counter-revolutionary weapon.

The idea of being this weapon... is
Stennis: "the best news I have heard in years."

~~They better note~~

The purpose of the nuclear bomb development
is not just to make us more ~~terrible~~,
it's to make nuclear war happen.

These bombs ~~designed to deter~~ are not for deterrence,
they're for war — to ~~take~~ ~~with the US~~ ~~against~~

~~first~~ ~~was~~ ~~first~~ ~~way~~ to the dirty little wars

like Vietnam into "clean" nuclear wars, and ~~influenced~~ by

that precedent, to make ~~the~~ ~~thing~~ but ~~that~~ ~~thing~~ ^{other "other" "terrible"} weapons

still "terrible" ~~in an overall sense~~ of the size yield

~~upgraded~~ ~~dropped~~ ~~dropped~~ ~~on~~ ~~Washington~~ ^{politically} "usable": ~~only~~ ~~again~~

Nuclear bombs have been developed not just

to ...

but to make nuclear war happen.

~~They~~

~~These~~ ~~These~~

Now, the American public, have determined our

Those who have pressed the development of
N-bombs have done so in hopes a President
would use it less determined as in using it ~~first~~
of fears of ^{retaliation or of} American and allied ^{political} reaction, ~~and~~
~~and~~ They hope

With ~~the~~ ^{more} abolished nuclear weapons or
they will abolish us.

xoxo

with no weapon like the cruise missile,
which makes immediate reductions almost
impossible, or the neutron ^{only the} ~~the~~ ^{that} ~~which~~ ^{most}
likely to be used the first nuclear
^{which would} ~~which would~~ ^{to be used, and} ~~which would~~ ^{the one on which the}
trigger ~~the~~ ^{the} use of others. If we ~~start~~ ^{begin} by
stopping these, we will buy time in which
we ^{must use to} ~~can~~ ^{save ourselves and future generations.}

anywhere,

US ~~highlighted~~ ~~the~~ ~~idea~~ infiltration of
warfare under any circumstances ^{would} ~~is~~ a threat
to our security and humanity's. Giving the
President a nuclear bomb "option" would
allow him the power that to our security — and
to humanity's — that has yet occurred up to
that time.

The first country to use nuclear weapons in
combat since ~~the~~ Nagasaki would be raising

To give a Pres an N-bomb "option"
could trust to...

Aug 77



Either we ^{have} abolish nuclear weapons
or they will abolish us.

We do not have much time. We cannot
watch it ~~(spread of it)~~ going on longer
in the wrong direction, adding new weapons
like the cruise missile — which ^{would} make
inspected, ^{mutual} reductions almost impossible —
or the neutron bomb — which if ^{would} produced
~~the~~ ^{most} likely of all ~~to~~ be the first
nuclear weapon ^{to be used} since the Nagasaki,
~~to be used~~ ^{and} the one which ~~leads to~~
triggers the use of others ^{on both sides}.

By stopping this ^{process} now, this year, we will
buy time which we must use to save
ourselves and future generations.

We ~~know~~ will can survive the
invention of nuclear weapons only if
we succeed in abolishing them.

323-3384

(David
321-3395)

Hyp: Neutron bomb as
diplomatic equiv. of MCF + US
1st-Strike;
"an alternative to a ~~Russian~~
nuclear force."

[i.e. in fact, a US-supplied
~~Russian~~ nuclear force, without consent
and with ~~Russian~~ accepting US hegemony:
instead of a "destabilizing" orient
~~Russian~~ nuclear force.